



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. LSB-001/CIP 09/539,382 03/31/2000 Alison A. McCormick 9680

7590

04/05/2004

DEAN H. NAKAMURA, ESQUIRE ROYLANCE, ABRAMS, BERDO & GOODMAN, LLP 1300 19TH STREET N.W. SUITE 600 WASHINGTON, DC 20036

EXAMINER YAEN, CHRISTOPHER H

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1642

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/539,382	MCCORMICK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher H Yaen	1642
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
THE REPLY FILED 17 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.		
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:		
(a) Ithey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);		
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or		
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to: 76.		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>54,56,60-64,66,67,69,72,73 and 77-86</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:		
Ġ	VRY NICKOL VRY EXAMINER	Christopher Yaen Art Unit 1642

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's amendments to the claims to include the recitation of sequences that induce transient replication of the nucleotide sequence in the cytoplasm has not been searched and would require further considerations under 35 USC 102 or 103...

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments set forth by the applicant's are not deemed persuasive to overcome the obviousness rejection of record. Casper et al taught a nucleic acid acid sequence that is dervied from a B-cell lymphoma. One of ordianry skill in the art would find motivation in using the plant expression system because it was shown by Feidler et al that the expression of scFV in plants can be attained at high—levels, and that the use of linkers to was well known and practiced in the art so as to provide proper spacing and flexibility in protein folding as evidnced by Ladner et al.. Therefore, one of skill in the art would expect a reasonable amount of success in making a nucleic acid molecule comprising the said components because more the protein produced by the claimed nucleic acid would be produced in higher quantities and in correct orientation.